UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

TAREK MEHANNA,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DAY THIRTY-SIX
JURY TRIAL

John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 9
One Courthouse Way
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Monday, December 19, 2011
9:11 a.m.

Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
One Courthouse Way, Room 3510
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(617) 737-8728

Mechanical Steno - Computer-Aided Transcript

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
          OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
          By: Aloke Chakravarty, Assistant U.S. Attorney
 3
          John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
          Suite 9200
          Boston, Massachusetts 02210
 4
          - and -
 5
          UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
          By: Jeffrey D. Groharing, Trial Attorney
 6
              National Security Division
          950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
 7
          Washington, D.C. 20530
          On Behalf of the Government
 8
          CARNEY & BASSIL
          By: J.W. Carney, Jr., Esq.
 9
              Janice Bassil, Esq.
10
              John E. Oh, Esq.
          20 Park Plaza
          Suite 1405
11
          Boston, Massachusetts 02216
12
          - and -
          LAW OFFICE OF SEJAL H. PATEL, LLC
13
          By: Sejal H. Patel, Esq.
          101 Tremont Street
14
          Suite 800
          Boston, Massachusetts 02108
15
          On Behalf of the Defendant
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

00:01 20

```
(The following proceedings were held in open court
before the Honorable George A. O'Toole, Jr., United States
District Judge, United States District Court, District of
Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse,
One Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on December 19,
2011.
         The defendant, Tarek Mehanna, is present with counsel.
Assistant U.S. Attorney Aloke Chakravarty is present, along
with Jeffrey D. Groharing, Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of
Justice, National Security Division.)
         THE CLERK: All rise.
         (The Court enters the courtroom at 9:11 a.m.)
         THE CLERK: Please be seated.
         THE COURT: Before we bring the jurors out, we do have
the question they sent in at the end of the day on Friday;
again, for the record, "May we get testimonies from individual
witnesses?"
         I don't know whether that means all of it or they have
some in mind and so on. I guess my question for you is: Does
anybody want to argue in the affirmative?
         MR. CARNEY: No.
         MS. BASSIL: No.
         MR. CARNEY: I think it would be a dangerous road to
go down, your Honor.
         MR. CHAKRAVARTY: And as predictive, I think the
```

00:02 20

00:02 10

government would argue in the affirmative. We understand this is -- within contours -- specific witnesses. It seems that what we have in this case as opposed to the typical case is a daily transcript that we have in a very extensive trial where there are complicated witnesses. We presume they're going to be asking for civilian witnesses, not readers or other things for which they have the original evidence.

I quickly looked at what the First Circuit has said about this, and the Akitoye case from back in '91 appears to be the seminal case, and it says it's in your discretion but there are factors to consider: The complexity is one of them; the narrowness of which testimonies they're seeking is another. Reading is a possibility, although that seems tedious, especially when they will have other transcripts.

I'm not suggesting that we promise them that they will get every transcript that they ask for, but if there's a narrow request for a specific transcript, then it seems to me to make sense. I think we all recognize how good the daily transcripts have been. And as we've prepared for trial, we've used those effectively.

There are sidebars that are within those, which presumably, either the parties or Ms. Patrisso or Ms. Dahlstrom could go through. I don't know what the logistics would be. I don't know if there's an automated way to excise those. But it seems to me it's something helpful to them. Their memory

00:04 20

00:03 10

controls, but if we have something that can assist their memory that is part of the record, then the government suggests that your Honor should let them get it.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't think so. Certainly not to a blanket -- well, a broad request anyway, which is all we have. But I don't think it's a good idea. First of all, we did allow them to take notes to help them recall, and I think that to give them transcripts would, I think, distort the way they should be deliberating, which is their collective recollections of the testimony aided by their notes rather than parsing texts. They've got enough texts to parse from the exhibits.

and there is the separate problem of having to edit each day to take out things they should see. And I think that's just a major burden. The transcripts, as they have been prepared, of course, include the whole proceedings, which includes those parts that the jury were excluded from, and so we'd have to go day by day and comb through them to do that. And I think since they have, first, been, to my observation, a pretty attentive jury anyway; but, second, have their own notes, I think for now that's sufficient.

Okay. So we'll answer the question that way.

We'll call them in -- and I think they're all here -- and we'll just get them started.

(Pause.)

```
1
                  THE COURT: Just for your information, the alternates
         have returned to the courthouse. We told them to be, like you,
     2
         on 15-minute notice. They can go off and do things as long as
     3
         they can get back here if we need them, so...
     4
     5
                  MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, do they have your written
         instructions by now?
     6
     7
                  THE COURT: I believe they do, yes.
     8
                  MR. CARNEY: Okay.
     9
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Do they have written instructions or
00:05 10
         the transcript of --
    11
                  THE COURT: Transcript. I think that's what he meant.
    12
         Transcript of the two -- because they were two separate
    13
         reporters, they get two separate volumes. I believe they have
    14
         them both.
    15
                  THE REPORTER: They've been condensed.
    16
                  THE COURT: Oh, they have one volume that has them
    17
         both? All right.
    18
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
    19
                   (The jury enters the courtroom at 9:16 a.m.)
00:06 20
                  THE CLERK: Be seated.
    21
                  THE COURT: Good morning, jurors.
    22
                  THE JURORS: Good morning.
    23
                  THE COURT: Let me look at you and see that all
    24
         deliberating jurors have returned. And I'll state that for the
    25
         record.
```

```
Have you all abided by my instruction to avoid any
     1
     2
         discussion of the case over the weekend? The jurors all
         indicate affirmatively.
     4
                   Jurors, as we broke Friday you had sent a note in
     5
         inquiring whether you could get the testimony of the individual
         witnesses. I assume you're referring to a transcript of the
     7
         testimony.
     8
                   The short answer is no. First of all, you have been a
     9
         very attentive jury throughout, and we appreciate that, and
00:07 10
         you've also been able to take notes. And we ask you to rely on
    11
         your impressions and your notes for whatever discussion you
    12
         need of the testimony of the witnesses.
    13
                  With that, we ask you now to resume your deliberations
    14
         and let us know when you have reached unanimous verdicts with
    15
         respect to the several counts of the indictment.
                   THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and the jury.
    16
         Court will be in recess.
    17
    18
                   (The jury exits the courtroom at 9:18 a.m.)
    19
                   THE COURT: May I see defense counsel on an ex parte
00:08 20
         application?
    21
                   (The Court exits the courtroom and there is a recess
    22
         at 9:18 a.m.)
    23
                   (After recess:)
    24
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and the jury.
    25
                   (The Court and jury enter the courtroom at 4:40 p.m.)
```

1 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 2 THE COURT: Jurors, we appreciate your hard work. We'll call it quits for the day. We'll follow the same 3 procedure: all assemble one by one tomorrow as usual, and when 5 everybody is here, we'll call you into the courtroom and again record that you're here and ready to resume your deliberations. 7 And, again, I would remind you to have no discussion 8 of the matter at all until you're back together. So have a pleasant evening and we'll see you tomorrow 07:30 10 morning. 11 THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and jury. Court will be in recess. 12 13 (The Court and jury exit the courtroom and the 14 proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE I, Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR, Official Reporter of the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript constitutes, to the best of my skill and ability, a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes taken in the matter of Criminal Action No. 09-10017-GAO-1, United States of America v. Tarek Mehanna. /s/ Marcia G. Patrisso MARCIA G. PATRISSO, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter Date: December 19, 2011